

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,359	06/28/2001	Kurt A. Keil	KK#2-3 2197		
7590 05/20/2004 Arthur R. Eglington, Esq. 113 Cross Creek Dr., R.D. #5 Pottsville, PA 17901			EXAMINER		
			A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2000			3637		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/892,	359	KEIL, KURT A.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Phi D A		3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this comfor reply specified above is less than thirty for reply is specified above, the maximum sply within the set or extended period for repceived by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of a communication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>28 June 2001</u> .					
2a)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Sind	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition o	f Claims						
4a) 0 5)☐ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)☐ Clai	4)						
Application P	apers						
·	specification is objected to by t		a) objected to by the	Evaminer			
)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	I1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) <u></u> Al 1.	Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docur onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of R 2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o)/Mail Date	(PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	(PTO-413)			

Application/Control Number: 09/892,359

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 21-22, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claimed language are confusing. The preamble is to a "channel". However, the body of the claims "such tubular member", "rectangular" is conflicting the scope of the claims as only "three member sidewalls" are claimed.

Claim 21 " such tubular " is lacking antecedent basis.

The claims are examined as best understood to be claiming a channel member which appears not to be a tubular member.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Application/Control Number: 09/892,359

Art Unit: 3637

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-20, 23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18, 20-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6279288.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art to modify the Patent Claims 1-18, 20-21 to show the extruded aluminum to be sheet steel stock as sheet steel, extruded aluminum are well known material for forming a metallic tubular structural supporting member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 21-22, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sher (4054268).

Sher (figure 7) shows a rigid channel member having three member sidewalls being generally planar throughout their length, the channel member being provided with linear groovings along at least one planar surface, the members having open longitudinal ends, the transverse configuration being a right angular in cross section, a first pair of externally placed, linear groovings arrayed in parallel with each of the groovings being located coincident/proximal

Art Unit: 3637

with the two seams of the center sidewall (50"), each of the groovings being of a depth sufficient to facilitate separation under force of at least an initial finger from one sidewall end segment from the adjacent sidewall end segments while maintaining the structural integrity of the transverse dimension of the separated sidewall end segment at the end point of separation.

Sher does not disclose the channel member being sheet steel stock or extrudable aluminum stock.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sher to show the pressure plate channel member being sheet steel stock or extrudable aluminum stock because aluminum and sheet steel stock are well known pressure plate material as they are strong.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different structural members with different configurations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,359

Art Unit: 3637

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

5/17/04